Section I. Property Information						
		PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.				
		ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY				
	į	PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS				
Su	bmitted by:	Edith Johnson				
Ph	one #:	<u>673-5262</u>				
Form Initiated Date:		<u>2/2/2012</u>				
Со	mplete by Date:	2/24/2011 <sup>2</sup>				
1.	Address:	424 31st Avenue North				
2.	Property Identific	cation Number (PIN): <u>10-029-24-32-0165</u>				
3.	Lot Size:	<u>41 x 116</u>				
4.	Current Use:	<u>Vacant Land</u>				
5.	Current Zoning:	<u>R4</u>				
6.	Proposed future	use (include attachments as necessary): single family housing new construction				
7.	List addresses of	f adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 404, 409, 427, 428, 429 31st Ave N				
8.		ntor comments: Twin Cities Habitat for Humanity wishes to acquire the parcel and build a bwner occupancy.				
Do	OJECT COORDINAT					
	ction II. Zoning F					
9.		ildable for any structure  Non-Buildable for any structure				
ein		h this lot is less than 5,000 square feet, it is considered a lot of record and therefore a would be permitted per § 531.100(a).				
	-	e applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?				
٠٠.	Yes ⊠ No					
sing	gle family home.	in you, what apphoasons. <u>Frantimos active one Frantition</u>				
11.	Comments:					
Col	mpleted by: <u>Jac</u>	cob Steen Date: <u>2/9/2012</u>				
Zor	NING STAFF:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE ROBINSON MINNEAPOLISMN. GOV				
Sec	ction III. Commu	nity Planning Review				
		all area plan(s) in effect for parcel: This area is part of the Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan.				
	•	designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The				
		for Sustainable Growth shows Lowry Avenue as a Community Corridor and the area to				
the south as Urban Neighborhood. The Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan further designates this a						
		nedium density. While some of the parcels fronting on 31st Avenue North could be added owned land to the north fronting on Lowry Avenue for a larger developable parcel, not all				
		31st Avenue are owned by the City, so it would not be possible to have a contiguous				
	parcel along 31st Avenue. The lots on 31st Avenue are vacant or have single-family homes. The sale of					
	this lot for single-family residential can be considered in conformance with the goals of the comprehensive					
		rea plan as that is the character of the street frontage on 31st Avenue. In addition, the R4				
zoning allows single-family homes. The larger parcel to the north, owned by the City, is planned for medium density residential and this land sale will not prevent that development.						
14.		proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?				
• ፣	Yes ⊠ No					
	Does the City ow	n adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger				

Yes ⊠ No □	If yes, explain	n possible development	scenarios <u>See item # 13</u>
16. Is parcel identified in adop	ted plans as a catalyst	l/essential site for future	development?
Yes ☐ No ⊠	If Yes, what t	ype of development?	<u> </u>
Comments:			
Completed by: <u>Jim Voll</u>	Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>		
COMMUNITY PLANNER:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLEN	IE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPO	DLISMN.GOV
Planning Director Review		by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u>	Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>
PLANNING DIRECTOR:	EMAIL FORM TO ARLEN	IE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPO	DLISMN.GOV
	<u> </u>		
Multi-Family Housing Staff Co	mments	by: Wes Bulter Date:	2/10/2012
Comments: No concerns from			
•			
Single-Family Housing Staff C	omments	by: Elfric Porte Date:	<u>2/10/2012</u>
Comments: Single Family Ho	using supports the de	velopment as proposed	<u>.</u>
Real Estate Development Serv	ices Staff Comments	by: <u>Darrell Washingto</u>	<u>n</u> Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>
Comments: Proposed develo	pment is consistent w	ith approved Real Estat	e disposition policies.
Business Development Staff C		by: <u>Kristin Guild</u>	Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>
Comments: Business Develo	<u>pment has no objectio</u>	on.	
Economic Development Direct	or Review	by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u>	Date: <u>2/13/2012</u>
•			
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:			
PROCEED to market the r	•		
Project Coordinator: Contact C	•	•	· ·
acquire City Planning Commis	sion finding of consiste	ency with <i>The Wilnneap</i> o	olis Plan for Sustainable Growth
I LIOL D their management for alia.		No Director's Mostins	·
HOLD this property for disc Note: If there is policy conflict of			nd sale must be discussed at a
Director's Meeting so that a de			
Director's Notes (reason for HC	OLD status):		· .
Housing Director Review		by: <u>Thomas Streitz</u>	Date: <u>2/13/2012</u>

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO <u>ARLENE ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN GOV</u>

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.

Se	ction I. Property Information								
PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.									
	ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY								
	PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS								
Su	bmitted by: <u>Edith Johnson</u>								
Ph	one #: 673-5262								
Fo	rm Initiated Date: 2/2/2012								
Со	mplete by Date: 2/21/2012								
	Address: 428 31 <sup>st</sup> Avenue North								
2.	Property Identification Number (PIN): 10-029-24-32-0164								
3.	Lot Size: 41 x 116								
4.									
5.	Current Zoning: R4								
6.	Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): single family housing new construction								
	List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: 404, 409, 424, 427, 429 31st Ave N								
8.	Project Coordinator comments: Twin Cities Habitat for Humanity wishes to acquire the parcel and build a								
•	home to sell for owner occupancy.								
PR	OJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV								
Se	ction II. Zoning Review								
	Lot is Buildable for <b>any</b> structure ⊠ Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure □								
•	Explain: Although this lot is less than 5,000 square feet, it is considered a lot of record and therefore a								
sing	gle family dwelling would be permitted per § 531.100(a). However, this lot is a reverse corner lot and is								
	pject to front yard setbacks along 31 <sup>st</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> ; therefore, any new development will likely require a variance								
	he required front yard along 6 <sup>th</sup> .								
10.	Will any land use applications be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 6?								
_:	Yes No If yes, what applications? Administrative Site Plan Review for a new								
	gle family dwelling & variance of the required front yard along 6th St. N.  Comments:								
	<del></del>								
	npleted by: Jacob Steen Date: 2/9/2012								
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV									
	ction III. Community Planning Review								
	List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: This area is part of the Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan.								
13.	Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: The								
	Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth shows Lowry Avenue as a Community Corridor and the area to the south as Urban Neighborhood. The Lowry Avenue Strategic Plan further designates this area as								
	appropriate for medium density. While some of the parcels fronting on 31st Avenue North could be added								
	to the larger City owned land to the north fronting on Lowry Avenue for a larger developable parcel, not all								
of the lots along 31st Avenue are owned by the City, so it would not be possible to have a contiguous									
	parcel along 31st Avenue. The lots on 31st Avenue are vacant or have single-family homes. The sale of								
	this lot for single-family residential can be considered in conformance with the goals of the comprehensive plan and small area plan as that is the character of the street frontage on 31st Avenue. In addition, the R4								
	zoning allows single-family homes. The larger parcel to the north, owned by the City, is planned for								
	medium density residential and this land sale will not prevent that development.								
14.	Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?								
	Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?								

# City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form seent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to cre

Housing Director Review by Thomas Streitz Date: 2/13/2012	1
Director's Notes (reason for HOLD status):	
HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting  Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made	
Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with <i>The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth</i>	
PROCEED to market the property as proposed	
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:	
DI EASE CHECK ONE DOY.	
Economic Development Director Review by: <u>Cathy Polasky</u> Date: <u>2/13/2012</u>	
Comments: Business Development has no objection.	
Business Development Staff Comments by: Kristin Guild Date: 2/10/2012	
Comments: Proposed development is consistent with approved Real Estate disposition policies.	
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments by: <u>Darrell Washington</u> Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>	
Comments: Single Family Housing supports the development as proposed.	
Single-Family Housing Staff Comments by: Elfric Porte Date: 2/10/2012	
Comments: No concerns from multifamily	
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments by: Wes Butler Date: 2/10/2012	
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO <u>ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV</u>	
Planning Director Review by: <u>Jason Wittenberg</u> Date: <u>2/10/2012</u>	
COMMONT TO ENAMER ON TO ATTELIAL TODINGON COMMON CO	
Completed by: Jim Voll Date: 2/10/2012  COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV	
Comments:	
Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, what type of development?	
Yes No No If yes, explain possible development scenarios See item # 13.  16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?	
development?	

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

City of Minneapolis

CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan *must* be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.